

Unstructured (text) vs. structured (database) data in 1996


Unstructured (text) vs. structured (database) data in 2006


## Unstructured data in 1680

- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words Brutus AND Caesar but NOT Calpurnia?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's plays for Brutus and Caesar, then strip out lines containing Calpurnia?
- Slow (for large corpora)
- NOT Calpurnia is non-trivial
- Other operations (e.g., find the word Romans near countrymen) not feasible
- Ranked retrieval (best documents to return) - Later lectures


## Term-document incidence

|  | Antony and Cleopatra | Julius Caesar | The Tempest | Hamlet | Othello | Macbeth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antony | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Brutus | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Caesar | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Calpurnia | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cleopatra | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| mercy | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| worser | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Brutus AND Caesar but NOT Calpurnia |  |  |  | 1 if play contains word, 0 otherwise |  |  |

## Incidence vectors

- So we have a $0 / 1$ vector for each term.
- To answer query: take the vectors for Brutus, Caesar and Calpurnia (complemented) $\rightarrow$ bitwise $A N D$.
- 110100 AND 110111 AND 101111 = 100100.

Basic assumptions of Information Retrieval

- Collection: Fixed set of documents
- Goal: Retrieve documents with information that is relevant to user's information need and helps him complete a task


## - Hamlet, Act III, Scene ii

- Lord Polonius: I did enact Julius Caesar I was killed i' the
- Capitol; Brutus killed me.


How good are the retrieved docs?

- Precision : Fraction of retrieved docs that are relevant to user's information need
- Recall : Fraction of relevant docs in collection that are retrieved
- More precise definitions and measurements to follow in later lectures


## Bigger collections

## Can't build the matrix

- Consider $N=1 \mathrm{M}$ documents, each with about 1 K terms.
- Avg 6 bytes/term incl spaces/punctuation - 6GB of data in the documents.
- Say there are $m=500 \mathrm{~K}$ distinct terms among these.
- $500 \mathrm{~K} \times 1 \mathrm{M}$ matrix has half-a-trillion 0's and 1's
- But it has no more than one billion 1's.
- matrix is extremely sparse.

What's a better representation?

- We only record the 1 positions.


## Inverted index

- For each term $T$, we must store a list of all documents that contain $T$.
- Do we use an array or a list for this?


What happens if the word Caesar is added to document 14 ?


## Inverted index

- Linked lists generally preferred to arrays
- Dynamic space allocation
- Insertion of terms into documents easy
- Space overhead of pointers


- Multiple term entries in a single document are merged.
- Frequency information is added.

Why frequency? Will discuss later.


- The result is split into a Dictionary file and a Postings file.

- Where do we pay in storage?


## The index we just built

- How do we process a query?

Today's
focus

## Query processing: AND

- Later - what kinds of queries can we process?
- Consider processing the query:

Brutus AND Caesar

- Locate Brutus in the Dictionary;
- Retrieve its postings
- Locate Caesar in the Dictionary;
- Retrieve its postings.
- "Merge" the two postings:
$2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 16 \rightarrow 32 \rightarrow 64 \rightarrow 128$
Brutus
$1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 8 \rightarrow 13 \rightarrow 21 \rightarrow 34$ Caesar

The merge

- Walk through the two postings simultaneously, in time linear in the total number of postings entries

If the list lengths are $x$ and $y$, the merge takes $\mathrm{O}(x+y)$ operations.
Crucial: postings sorted by doclD

## Boolean queries: Exact match

- The Boolean Retrieval model is being able to ask a query that is a Boolean expression:
- Boolean Queries are queries using $A N D, O R$ and NOT to join query terms
- Views each document as a set of words
- Is precise: document matches condition or not
- Primary commercial retrieval tool for 3 decades.
- Professional searchers (e.g., lawyers) still like Boolean queries:
- You know exactly what you're getting


## Example: WestLaw

http://www.westlaw.com/

- Largest commercial (paying subscribers) legal search service (started 1975; ranking added 1992)
- Tens of terabytes of data; 700,000 users
- Majority of users still use boolean queries
- Example query:
- What is the statute of limitations in cases involving the federal tort claims act?
- LIMIT! /3 STATUTE ACTION /S FEDERAL /2 TORT /3 CLAIM
- $/ 3=$ within 3 words, $/ \mathrm{S}=$ in same sentence


## Boolean queries: <br> More general merges

- Exercise: Adapt the merge for the queries: Brutus AND NOT Caesar Brutus OR NOT Caesar

Can we still run through the merge in time $\mathrm{O}(x+y)$ ?
What can we achieve?

Example: WestLaw http://mww.westaw.com/

- Another example query:
- Requirements for disabled people to be able to access a workplace
- disabl! /p access! /s work-site work-place (employment /3 place
- Note that SPACE is disjunction, not conjunction!
- Long, precise queries; proximity operators; incrementally developed; not like web search
- Professional searchers often like Boolean search:
- Precision, transparency and control
- But that doesn't mean they actually work better....


## Merging

What about an arbitrary Boolean formula?
(Brutus OR Caesar) AND NOT

## (Antony OR Cleopatra)

- Can we always merge in "linear" time?
- Linear in what?
- Can we do better?


## Query optimization

- What is the best order for query processing?
- Consider a query that is an $A N D$ of $t$ terms.
- For each of the $t$ terms, get its postings, then $A N D$ them together.

| Brutus |
| :--- |
| Calpurnia |
| Caesar |

Query: Brutus AND Calpurnia AND Caesar

## More general optimization

- e.g., (madding OR crowd) AND (ignoble OR strife)
- Get freq's for all terms
- Estimate the size of each $O R$ by the sum of its freq's (conservative).
- Process in increasing order of $O R$ sizes.


## Exercise

| - Recommend a query |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| processing order for |  |  |
|  |  | Freq |
| (tangerine OR trees) AND | Term | 213312 |
| (marmalade OR skies) AND | eyes | 87009 |
| (kaleidoscope OR eyes) | kaleidoscope | 107913 |
|  | marmalade | 10 |
|  | skies | 271658 |
|  | tangerine | 46653 |
|  | trees | 316812 |

Query processing exercises

- If the query is friends $A N D$ romans $A N D$ (NOT countrymen), how could we use the freq of countrymen?


## What's ahead in IR? <br> Beyond term search

- What about phrases?
- Stanford University
- Proximity: Find Gates NEAR Microsoft.
- Need index to capture position information in docs More later.
- Zones in documents: Find documents with (author = Ullman) AND (text contains automata).


## Evidence accumulation

## Ranking search results

- 1 vs. 0 occurrence of a search term
- 2 vs. 1 occurrence
- 3 vs. 2 occurrences, etc.
- Usually more seems better
- Need term frequency information in docs
- Boolean queries give inclusion or exclusion of docs.
- Often we want to rank/group results
- Need to measure proximity from query to each doc.
- Need to decide whether docs presented to user are singletons, or a group of docs covering various aspects of the query.


## IR vs. databases: <br> Structured vs unstructured data

- Structured data tends to refer to information in "tables"

| Employee | Manager | Salary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Smith | Jones | 50000 |
| Chang | Smith | 60000 |
| Ivy | Smith | 50000 |

Typically allows numerical range and exact match (for text) queries, e.g.,
Salary < 60000 AND Manager $=$ Smith.

## Unstructured data

- Typically refers to free text
- Allows
- Keyword queries including operators
- More sophisticated "concept" queries e.g., - find all web pages dealing with drug abuse
- Classic model for searching text documents


## More sophisticated semistructured search

- Title is about Object Oriented Programming AND Author something like stro*rup
- where * is the wild-card operator
- Issues:
- how do you process "about"?
- how do you rank results?
- The focus of XML search.

[^0]
## More sophisticated information

 retrieval- Cross-language information retrieval
- Question answering
- Summarization
- Text mining
- ...


[^0]:    .. to say nothing of linguistic structure

